

1 William B Abrams
2 1519 Branch Owl Place
3 Santa Rosa, CA 95409
4 Tel: 707 397 5727
5 End2endconsulting@gmail.com

6 *Claimant*

FILED

FEB 27 2020

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SAN FRANCISCO, CA

7
8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 In re:

12 PG&E CORPORATION

13 -and-

14 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,
15
16 Debtors.

17 X Affects both Debtors

18 * All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,
19 No. 19-30088(DM).

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)
Chapter 11

(Lead Case)
(Jointly Administered)

MOTION OF CLAIMANT WILLIAM B. ABRAMS FOR LEAVE TO FILE APPEAL OF ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) AND 105(a) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004 AND 9019 (I) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS AND TCC TO ENTER INTO RESTRUCTURING SUPPORT AGREEMENT WITH THE TCC, CONSENTING FIRE CLAIMANT PROFESSIONALS, AND SHAREHOLDER PROPONENT

20
21 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(a)(3) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8004, William
22 B Abrams ("Mr. Abrams"), a tort claimant, hereby moves the United States District Court for the
23
24

25 1

26 **MOTION OF WILLIAM B ABRAMS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR**
27 **RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) AND 105(a) AND FED. R.**
28 **BANKR. P. 6004 AND 9019 (I) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS AND TCC TO ENTER INTO**
RESTRUCTURING SUPPORT AGREEMENT WITH THE TCC, CONSENTING FIRE CLAIMANT
PROFESSIONALS, AND SHAREHOLDER PROPONENTS, AND TO GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

1 Northern District of California (the “District Court”) for leave to appeal the *Order Denying Motion*
2 *for Reconsideration of the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) and 105(a) and FED. R.*
3 *BANKR. P. 6004 and 9019 (I) Authorizing the Debtors and TCC to Enter into Restructuring*
4 *Support Agreement with the TCC, Consenting Fire Claimant Professionals, and Shareholder*
5 *Proponents, and (II) Granting Related Relief* [D.I. 5766] entered on February 13, 2020 (the
6 “Order”) by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (the
7 “Bankruptcy Court”), a copy of which are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

8 The underlying Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) and 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P.
9 6004 and 9019 (I) Authorizing the Debtors and TCC to Enter into Restructuring Support
10 Agreement with the TCC, Consenting Fire Claimant Professionals, and Shareholder Proponents,
11 and (II) Granting Related Relief (“the RSA Order”) is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

12 Mr. Abrams respectfully requests that the District Court issue an order permitting him to
13 seek reversal on appeal of the Order, for the underlying motion to be granted, and for the
14 Restructuring Support Agreement to be renegotiated to effect a valid and sound exercise of the
15 Debtors’ business judgment and to engender substantial fairness and transparency to the tort
16 claimants and put the interests of the tort claimants on equal footing with the Debtors.

17 Mr. Abram’s request is based on this motion; the (1) Notice of Motion of William B
18 Abrams for Leave to Appeal Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration of the Order Pursuant to
19 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) and 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 and 9019 (I) Authorizing the Debtors
20 and TCC to Enter into Restructuring Support Agreement with the TCC, Consenting Fire Claimant
21 Professionals, and Shareholder Proponents, and (II) Granting Related Relief, and (2) the
22 Memorandum in Support of Motion of William B Abrams for Leave to Appeal Order Denying
23 Motion for Reconsideration of the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) and 105(a) and Fed. R.
24 Bankr. P. 6004 and 9019 (I) Authorizing the Debtors and TCC to Enter into Restructuring Support
25 Agreement with the TCC, Consenting Fire Claimant Professionals, and Shareholder Proponents,
26 and (II) Granting Related Relief filed concurrently herewith; all pleadings, papers, and other
27 documentary materials in the Bankruptcy Court’s file in this action; those matters of which the

1 District Court may take judicial notice; the arguments of counsel made at the hearing on the
2 Motion, if any; and such other matters as the District Court may consider.

3 **WHEREFORE**, the Mr. Abrams respectfully requests that the District Court grant Mr.
4 Abrams leave to appeal the Order.

5 DATED: February 27, 2020

6 Respectfully submitted,


7 
8 _____
9 William B. Abrams
10 Claimant
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Exhibit A



WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
Stephen Karotkin (*pro hac vice*)
(stephen.karotkin@weil.com)
Ray C. Schrock, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)
(ray.schrock@weil.com)
Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)
(jessica.liou@weil.com)
Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)
(matthew.goren@weil.com)
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153-0119
Tel: 212 310 8000
Fax: 212 310 8007

Signed and Filed: February 12, 2020

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)
(tkeller@kellerbenvenuti.com)
Jane Kim (#298192)
(jkim@kellerbenvenuti.com)
650 California Street, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94108
Tel: 415 496 6723
Fax: 650 636 9251
*Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:
PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,**

Debtors.

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

ORDER DENYING MOTION OF WILLIAM B. ABRAMS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(B) AND 105(A) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004 AND 9019 (I) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS AND TCC TO ENTER INTO RESTRUCTURING SUPPORT AGREEMENT WITH THE TCC, CONSENTING FIRE CLAIMANT PROFESSIONALS, AND SHAREHOLDER PROPONENTS, AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF [DKT. 5174]

- ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company
☒ Affects both Debtors

** All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).*

Related Docket No.: 5577

Upon consideration of the *Motion of William B. Abrams for Reconsideration of the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) and 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 and 9019 (i) Authorizing the Debtors and TCC to Enter into Restructuring Support Agreement with the TCC, Consenting Fire*

1 *Claimant Professionals, and Shareholder Proponents, and (ii) Granting Related Relief [Dkt. 5174]*
2 *[Docket No. 5577] (the “Motion”), and the various objections to the Motion filed by PG&E Corporation*
3 *and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as debtors and debtors in possession [Docket No. 5705], the Ad*
4 *Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders [Docket No. 5702], and the Official Committee of Tort*
5 *Claimants [Docket No. 5698] (the “Objections”), and William B. Abrams Response to Official*
6 *Committee of Tort Claimants Objection [Dkt. 5698] and the Restated Objection of the Ad Hoc*
7 *Subrogation Group [Dkt. 5702] to William B. Abrams Motion for Reconsideration of the Order*
8 *Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) and 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 and 9019 for Entry of an Order*
9 *(i) Authorizing the Debtors and the TCC to Enter Into Restructuring Support Agreement with the TCC,*
10 *Consenting Fire Claimant Professionals, and Shareholder Proponents, and (ii) Granting Related Relief*
11 *[Dkt. 5577] [Docket No. 5708] (the “Response”); and this Court having held a hearing on February 11,*
12 *2020 to consider the Motion, the Objections, and the Response (the “Hearing”); and upon all of the*
13 *proceedings had before this Court and after due deliberation,*

14 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 15 1. The Motion is denied for the reasons set forth on the record of the Hearing.
- 16 2. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or controversies arising from
- 17 this Order.

18 ** END OF ORDER **
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit B



Signed and Filed: December 19, 2019

Dennis Montali

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
Stephen Karotkin (*pro hac vice*)
(stephen.karotkin@weil.com)
Ray C. Schrock, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)
(ray.schrock@weil.com)
Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)
(jessica.liou@weil.com)

Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)
(matthew.goren@weil.com)
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153-0119
Tel: 212 310 8000
Fax: 212 310 8007

KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)
(tkeller@kellerbenvenutti.com)
Jane Kim (#298192)
(jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com)
650 California Street, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94108
Tel: 415 496 6723
Fax: 650 636 9251
*Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
Paul H. Zumbro (*pro hac vice*)
(pzumbro@cravath.com)
Kevin J. Orsini (*pro hac vice*)
(korsini@cravath.com)
Omid H. Nasab (*pro hac vice*)
(onasab@cravath.com)
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10019
Tel: 212 474 1000
Fax: 212 474 3700

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Debtors.

- ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric
Company
☒ Affects both Debtors

* All papers shall be filed in the Lead
Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).

Bankruptcy Case
No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11
(Lead Case)
(Jointly Administered)

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(b) AND
105(a) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004 AND 9019
(I) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS AND TCC TO
ENTER INTO RESTRUCTURING SUPPORT
AGREEMENT WITH THE TCC, CONSENTING
FIRE CLAIMANT PROFESSIONALS, AND
SHAREHOLDER PROPONENTS, AND
(II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153-0119

1 Upon the Motion, dated December 9, 2019 [Docket No. 5038] (the “**Motion**”),¹ of PG&E
2 Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as debtors and debtors in possession
3 (together, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11**
4 **Cases**”), pursuant to sections 363(b) and 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy**
5 **Code**”) and Rules 6004 and 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy**
6 **Rules**”), for entry of an order (i) authorizing the Debtors and TCC to enter into that certain Restructuring
7 Support Agreement, dated December 6, 2019 (as amended on December 16, 2019, and as may be further
8 amended, modified, or supplemented from time to time in accordance with its terms, the “**Tort**
9 **Claimants RSA**”), among the Debtors, the TCC, the Consenting Fire Claimant Professionals, and the
10 Shareholder Proponents, and (ii) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and
11 this Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28
12 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*,
13 General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Bankruptcy Local Rule 5011-1(a); and consideration of the Motion
14 and the requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper
15 before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and notice of the Motion having been given
16 in accordance with the *Order Granting Ex Parte Motion of Debtors Pursuant to B.L.R. 9006-1*
17 *Requesting Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Tort Claimants RSA Motion*, dated December 10,
18 2019 [Docket No. 5046] is reasonable and sufficient, and no other or further notice need be provided;
19 and this Court having reviewed the Motion and the Wells Declaration; and various objections, responses,
20 and statements having been filed with respect to the relief requested in the Motion, including, without
21 limitation, the objections, responses, and statements found at Docket Nos. 5115, 5117, 5121, 5123, 5124,
22 5125, 5127, 5128, 5129, 5131, 5132, 5135, 5138, and 5139 (collectively, the “**Objections**”); and the
23 Court having held a hearing on December 17, 2019 to consider the Motion and the Objections (the
24 “**Hearing**”); and this Court, for the reasons stated by this Court on the record of the Hearing, having
25 determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief
26 granted herein and that the relief requested in the Motion represents a sound exercise of the Debtors’
27

28 ¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise herein defined shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

business judgment and is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties in interest; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court, and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted as provided herein. Any Objections to the extent not resolved at the Hearing are hereby overruled.

2. The Tort Claimants RSA, including the Term Sheet encompassed therein, represents a valid and sound exercise of the Debtors' business judgment and, pursuant to sections 363(b) and 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 9019, is hereby approved in its entirety.

3. The Debtors and TCC are authorized to enter into and perform under the Tort Claimants RSA and Term Sheet encompassed therein, and execute and deliver all instruments and documents and take any additional actions as are necessary or appropriate to implement and effectuate the entry into and performance under the Tort Claimants RSA, including any transactions contemplated thereby.

4. Any material modifications or amendments to the Tort Claimants RSA shall be subject to this Court's approval on not less than twenty-one (21) days' notice, subject to the right of any Party to move for shortened notice.

5. The failure to describe specifically or include any particular provision of, or documents related to, the Tort Claimants RSA or Term Sheet in the Motion or this Order shall not diminish or impair the effectiveness of the Tort Claimants RSA or the Term Sheet, it being the intent of this Court that the Tort Claimants RSA and the Term Sheet be approved in their entirety.

6. This Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

7. The Debtors and the TCC are authorized to take all necessary actions to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Motion.

8. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

**** END OF ORDER ****